

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,882	02/08/2006	Matthias Hoffmann	56817M521	2362
23363 7590 0529/2009 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			EXAMINER	
			DIAZ, THOMAS C	
PASADENA, O	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/567,882
 HOFFMANN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 THOMAS DIAZ
 3656

	THOMAS DIAZ	3656	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>THOMAS DIAZ</u> .	(3)Richard Ridley.		
(2) <u>Saeid Mirsafian</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 May 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> </mark>	•]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: claim 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Kraus (USP 2201670), 2128483).	Taubmann et al. (WO995145	6A1), Hendrick (	<u>USP</u>
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed changes to claprior at used in the rejection</u> . No agreement was reached at being used. We also discussed some questions regard was given on what qualifies as analagous art in the applicate reached.	nim 1 were discussed and how with respect to the proposed o ing analagous art in the applic	those changes in hanges overcon ation and some of	related to the ning the prior clarification
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE / INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Thomas Diaz/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656	/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3656	